

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,213	04/12/2001	Harukazu Fukami	001560-390	3646
75	590 11/08/2002			
Ronald L Grudziecki			EXAMINER	
Burns Doane Swecker & Mathis P O Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			навте, к	AHSAY
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	1 (
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>ì</i> -		T i				
• .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/763,213	FUKAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>25 October 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice of Disposition of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-24</u> is/are rejected.						
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 10/25/02 in response to the previous Office Action (Paper No. 7) is acknowledged. Rejections of claims 1-6 and 13-24 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraph (Paper No. 7, paragraphs 7-9 and 10a-10e) have been obviated. The prior art rejection has been maintained.

Abstract

13. The abstract is defective, because the variable **X** is missing from formula (1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukami et al. (US Pat. No. 5,814,631). The cited reference on column 52 (example 148) teaches the synthesis of 3-(4-aminobenzenesulfonyl)-7-chloro-2,4(1H,3H)-

quinazolinedione. The said compound is exactly the same as applicants except that it is excluded by the proviso (see Paper No.7, item 6 for details).

Response to arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 10/25/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that their compounds encompassed by claims 1-6 differ from that of Fukami's (example 148). Applicants also submit (non-executed Rule 132 Declaration) to compare the water solubilities of Fukami's compound of Example 148 with their compounds disclosed in Example 13, 17 and 18. The examiner disagrees with applicants for the following reasons:

a. The comparison should be done between the compound of example 148 i.e. 3-(4-aminobenzenesulfonyl)-7-chloro-2,4(1H,3H)-quinazolinedione and its position isomer i.e. 3-(2-aminobenzenesulfonyl)-7-chloro-2,4(1H,3H)-quinazolinedione or 3-(3-aminobenzenesulfonyl)-7-chloro-2,4(1H,3H)-quinazolinedione. Applicants' compounds 13, 17, and 18 are acids. The solubilities may be higher because of the acid. For example, Fukami's compound has –NH₂ while applicant's Example 13 has aminocarbonyl propionic acid. Thus, one cannot compare the solubility of an acid with an amine for comparison.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,213

Art Unit: 1624

b. The comparison of example 148 to its position isomers should be done in declaration form. No declaration was submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 and 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There has been added a proviso in claim 1, but there is no descriptive support in the specification for the said proviso. The proviso lacks description. Even a negative limitation requires description, *Ex Parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,213

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1-6 and 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

a. Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are rejected because variable **X** is missing from formula (1). Applicants have defined **X** in claim 1, but variable **X** is omitted from formula (1). Applicants can overcome the rejection by putting back said variable in claim 1.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,213

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.

Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH November 6, 2002 Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624